UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/065,782	11/18/2002	Fu-Chang Lin	DTCP0001USA	2416	
27765 NORTH AME	7590 08/09/2007 ERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506	06		BAKER, CHARLOTTE M		
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		`	2625		
		į,	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/09/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/065,782		LIN, FU-CHANG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charlotte M. Baker	2625	

	Charlotte M. Baker	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	Iress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		• • •		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	ha af tha data af		
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A biter in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ie appeal. Since		
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below):	ccause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	,.	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			•		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	` ''	moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant / monament	(1 TOL OL 4).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
<ul> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-</li> </ul>		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-9</u> .			• .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
<del></del>					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding Applicant's argument that Hatakenaka et al. do not teach that a user interface is used for configuring the printer manager and a device-dependent output data that is suitable for a first type of printer but not suitable for a second type of printer according to configuration information received from the user interface, Examiner respectfully traverses. Hatakenaka et al. teach that the operator can select a desired print mode (Fig. 5 and col. 6, In. 9-14) and the print execution command is supplied to the control unit 11 (user interface) (col. 6, In. 9-14). The signal processing unit 3 (printer manager) processes an electrical signal into a signal suitable for display or recording (printing) (col. 4, In. 10-13). Fig. 3 clearly shows that control unit 11 (user interface) is in direct communication with signal processing unit 3 (printer manager); therefore, the print execution command is sent directly to signal processing unit 3 (printer manager) so that the electrical signal is processed accordingly. The encoding/decoding unit 4 receives and encodes the image data processed by the signal processing unit 3 (printer manager) (col. 4, In. 13-15). Then, the image is output from the encoding/decoding unit 4 to the printer 31 via printer interface 8 (col. 5, In. 20-22). Since Hatekenaka et al. do not teach that the print data is suitable for all types of printers and teaches printer 31, it is inherently taught that the print data is suitable for one type of printer (printer 31), but not for a second (different) type of printer. In addition, the configuration information (desired print mode) would be received from control unit 11 (user interface), signal processing unit 3 (printer manager), encoding/decoding unit 4 and printer interface 8. Applicant discloses in par. 20 of the Specification, "Note that the print data 58 is device-dependent, the print data 58 is only available for the printer 30 or for printers having same type as the printer 30. If the print data is transmitted to a different type of printer, the printer may not be capable of printing the print data 58 correctly." Additionally, Applicant only teaches one printer (printer 30). In summary, Hatakenaka et al. teach the invention as claimed and the claims stand rejected.

(MB

KAWilliams

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER